EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 3

DOCKET NO.: 2007-1353-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN101887891 **CASE NO.:** 34478

RESPONDENT NAME: Delta Bevco, Inc. dba Delta Food 2

FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
EMERGENCY ORDER	
MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
X PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
	, Orange County
No	
ere are no complaints. There is no record of addition	al pending enforcement actions regarding this
han the ED and the Respondent has expressed an inte	erest in this matter.
egister comment period expired on December 17, 200	7. No comments were received.
or: Mr. Rajesh Acharya, Enforcement Division, Enfor Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 President, Delta Bevco, Inc., 705 Texas Avenue, Bri	
	SHUTDOWN ORDER EMERGENCY ORDER MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply) X PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS SEWAGE SLUDGE RADIOACTIVE WASTE RED: Delta Food 2, 705 Texas Avenue, Bridge City ore with retail sales of gasoline No ere are no complaints. There is no record of addition than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an integrister comment period expired on December 17, 200 tor: None or: Mr. Rajesh Acharya, Enforcement Division, Enfo Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171

RESPONDENT NAME: Delta Bevco, Inc. dba Delta Food 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-1353-PST-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART: CORRECTIVE ACTIONS **VIOLATION INFORMATION** PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED Mail Type of Investigation: Total Assessed: \$2,140 **Ordering Provisions:** Complaint X Routine The Order will require the Respondent to: **Total Deferred: \$428** ___ Enforcement Follow-up X Expedited Settlement Records Review a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order: Financial Inability to Pay Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None SEP Conditional Offset: \$0 :-i. Begin maintaining all Stage II records at the Station; and Date of Investigation Relating to this Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$150 ii. Begin maintaining Stage II vapor Case: July 10, 2007 (remaining \$1,562 due in 11 monthly payments recovery system in proper operating of \$142 each) Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: condition, including but not limited to, upgrading the Stage II equipment to August 13, 2007 (NOE) Site Compliance History Classification ____ High __X_Average ___ Poor ORVR compatible systems, proper Background Facts: This was a routine installation of the breakaways, and posting operating instructions on the front of each investigation. Four violations were Person Compliance History Classification gasoline dispensing pump. documented. __ High __X_Average ___ Poor b. Within 45 days after the effective date WASTE Major Source: Yes X No of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed 1) Failed to maintain records on-site at the Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002 supporting documentation including Station ordinarily manned during business photographs, receipts, and/or other records hours, and make them immediately to demonstrate compliance with Ordering available for review upon request. Provisions a.i. and a.ii. Specifically, Stage II employee training records, inspection reports and test results were not available for inspection at the time of the investigation [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.246(4), (5), and (7)(A) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)]. 2) Failed to upgrade the Stage II vapor recovery system to onboard refueling vapor recovery (ORVR) compatible systems [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(1)(C) and Tex. Health & Safety CODE § 382.085(b)]. 3) Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including the absence or disconnection of any component that is a part of the approved system. Specifically, the breakaways were installed upside down on dispenser nos. 1 and 2 [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3)(L)]. 4) Failed to post operating instructions conspicuously on the front of each gasoline dispensing pump equipped with a

Page 3 of 3

RESPONDENT NAME: Delta Bevco, Inc. dba Delta Food 2 **DOCKET NO.:** 2007-1353-PST-E

Stage II vapor recovery system [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(9) and Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.085(b)].	

Additional ID No(s).: PST 49045

Policy Revision 2 (Septe	Penalty Calculation	n Worksheet (Po	CW) PCW Revision June 26, 2007
TCEO	ander 2002)	W-0-1	
	20-Aug-2007 Screening 21-Aug-2007	EPA Due	
RESPONDENT/FACILITY	INFORMATION		
Respondent	Delta Bevco, Inc. dba Delta Food 2		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101887891		
Facility/Site Region	10-Beaumont	Major/Minor Source	Minor
CACE INCODMATION			
CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No.	34478	No. of Violations	2
	2007-1353-PST-E	Order Type	
	Petroleum Storage Tank	Enf. Coordinator	Rajesh Acharya
Multi-Media			EnforcementTeam 6
Admin. Penalty \$ L	imit Minimum \$0 Maximum	\$10,000	
	Penalty Calcula	ition Section	TOTAL POPULATION OF THE STATE O
TOTAL BASE PENAL	TY (Sum of violation base penaltie	es) - The Alexander Ba	Subtotal 1 \$2,000
TOTAL DAGLI LIVIL	· · · (Oddin O. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1	ing the second of the second o	
	ned by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by		otals 2, 3, & 7 \$140
Compliance Histo		- All Communication of the Com	otals 2, 3, & 7 \$140
Notes	Enhancement for one previous NOV with sa		AMAZET ENERGY EN
Notes	one NOV without a similar	r violation.	T THIS HOUSE
A Company			
Culpability	No 0%	Enhancement	Subtotal 4 \$0
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	e culpability criteria.	
110103	The respendent asset for his and		porter-1-(s)sill
a life in es.	t to Comply 0%	Buddelin V	Subtotal 5 \$0
Good Faith Effort	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	Reduction	Subtotal 5
Extraordinary			
Ordinary			
N/A	X (mark with x)		
Notes Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	e good faith criteria	
Notes	The Respondent does not meet the	e good latti criteria.	
	and the second s		Subtotal 6 \$0
■ 海南北東市 等等等的。		Enhancement* d at the Total EB \$ Amount	Subtotal 6 \$0
Approx.	Cost of Compliance \$1,000	a at the Total ED \$71mount	
SUM OF SUBTOTAL	S 1-7		Final Subtotal \$2,140
		artina de la companya	to any territorian (any and any
	S JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE		Adjustment \$0
Reduces or enhances the Final S	Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number onl	ly; e.g30 for -30%.)	
Notes			
Notes			
		Final Pe	nalty Amount \$2,140
STATUTORY LIMIT A	ADJUSTMENT	Final Asse	essed Penalty \$2,140
	THE POTENTIAL CONTRACTOR OF THE POTENTIAL CONTRACTOR OTTOR OF THE POTENTIAL CONTRACTOR		
DEFERRAL		20% Reduction	Adjustment -\$428
Reduces the Final Assessed Per	nalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.		
TAR A CHIEF TO THE TAR A CHIEF T			1
Notes	Deferral offered for expedite	ed settlement.	•
	Zoga en jagon kan in kan i		\$1,712
PAYABLE PENALTY			\$1,/12

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 21-Aug-2007

Docket No. 2007-1353-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Delta Bevco, Inc. dba Delta Food 2

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision June 26, 2007

Case ID No. 34478

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101887891

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

	Compliance History Worksheet ory Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) nt Number of	nter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1.	5%
	Other written NOVs	1	2%
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgmen		0	0%
and Conse Decrees	I Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court	.0	0%
Conviction	ns Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emission		0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Section of the Control of the Contro	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Pleas	se Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Outer	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
Annual Assistant Assistant Co.	Adjustment Po	ercentage (Sı	ıbtotal 2)
eat Violator (Subtotal 3)	PARE TO CASE	1. 14 July
	No Adjustment Po	ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 3)
npliance Hist	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average	Performer Adjustment Pe	ercentage (Sเ	ıbtotal 7) 🗌
npliance Hist	ory Summary		
Complian History Notes		imilar violation.	di Tikir Li ki tigerta
	Total Adjustment Percentage		

Screening Date	21-Aug-2007	Docket No.	2007-1353-PST-E	PCW
Respondent	Delta Bevco, Inc. dba Delta	a Food 2	Policy R	evision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.	34478		P	CW Revision June 26, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101887891			
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank			
Enf. Coordinator	Rajesh Acharya			
Violation Number	1 1			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 1	15.246(4), (5), and (7)(A) ard 382.085(b)	nd Tex. Health & Safety Code §	down had ofference
Violation Description	hours, and make them i Stage II employee trai	mmediately available for rev	inarily manned during business view upon request. Specifically, orts and test results were not the investigation.	
			Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	and Human Health Ma	trix		OR MANAGEMENT
	Harm	TACOMIN SERVICE	2.29 d. felig (desp. 1.50) 2.0 details 1.00 details 2012 (1.00 details 201)	according to the second
Release	r	Minor		WWW.
OR Actual				WOODSTEIN
· Potentia			Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	AND TOTAL PROPERTY.			watch
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
	T x		Percent 10%	Administrative Artificial Control of the Control of
La 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Matrix Notes	100% of th	e rule requirement was not i	met.	
PRINTS SERVICE SERVICES		South Market Committee	djustment \$9,000]
S TO AND A COLOR OF THE PARTY O				\$1,000
Violation Events	ilianide serviciosexa e subsidiorem	specifically and a second	apple with the confidence of the control control	
N	-I	T (20	Number of violation days	
Number of VI	olation Events 1	42	Number of violation days	
mark only one with an x	daily monthly quarterly semiannual annual		Violation Base Penalty	\$1,000
	single event x			- Committee of the Comm
One single	event is recommended base	ed on documentation of the investigation.	violation during the July 10, 2007	Anna anna anna anna anna anna anna anna
Economic Benefit (EB) for the	nis violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$21	Violation Final Penalty Tota	ı \$1,070
		Tit	and Danoth, (adit-d for 1114-) \$1,070
		inis violation Final Asses	sed Penalty (adjusted for limits	J

o commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	0.0 0.0 0.0	Interest Saved	5.0 Onetime Costs	EB Amount
o commas or \$			0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
	10-Jul-2007		0.0	\$0		
	10-Jul-2007		0.0	\$0		
	10-Jul-2007		0.0		ያስ [
	10-Jul-2007					\$0
\$500	10-Jul-2007			\$0	*\$0	\$0
\$500	10-Jul-2007		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$500	10-Jul-2007		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
		10-May-2008	8.0	\$21	n/a	\$21
	colors of the first charge consequence	r in the definition of the control of	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
		Sec. Marie a Supplied and Life of B	0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
						\$0
			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
		a sancings/saxeterior		item (except for c	one-time avoided co	
d Killadi ki ji shi Pil Pi sambar da a bi di 200	ALIZE [1] avoide	eu costs perore e				ው
d Killadi ki ji shi Pil Pi sambar da a bi di 200	ALIZE [1] avoide	eu costs perore e	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d Killadi ki ji shi Pil Pi sambar da a bi di 200	ALIZE [1] avoide	eu costs pelore e	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
d Killadi ki ji shi Pil Pi sambar da a bi di 200	ALIZE [1] avoide	EU COSIS DEIOTE E	0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
d Killadi ki ji shi Pil Pi sambar da a bi di 200	ALIZE [1] avoide	EU COSIS DEIOTE E	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
d Killadi ki ji shi Pil Pi sambar da a bi di 200	ALIZE [1] avoide	EU COSES DEIOTE E	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
d Killadi ki ji shi Pil Pi sambar da a bi di 200	ALIZE [1] avoide	EU COSES DEIOTE E	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
stin	nated cost to		expected d	nated cost to maintain Stage II records. The Date Requested date of c	nated cost to maintain Stage II records. The Date Required is the Investig expected date of compliance.	nated cost to maintain Stage II records. The Date Required is the Investigation date and the F expected date of compliance.

	Scree	ning Date	21-Aug-2007		Doo	ket No. 2007-135	3-PST-E		PCW
	Re	spondent	Delta Bevco,	Inc. dba Delta	Food 2			Policy Revision	on 2 (September 2002)
	С	ase ID No.	34478					PCW F	Revision June 26, 2007
Reg. E	nt. Refe	rence No.	RN10188789	1					
	Medi	a [Statute]	Petroleum St	orage Tank					
		ordinator	Rajesh Acha	rya					
	Viola	ion Number	2						
		Rule Cite(s)			Safety Code				
	Violation	Description	recovery (C system effectivenes that is a part down	DRVR) compati in proper open is of the systen of the approve on dispenser r	ble systems. Fail ating condition ar n, including the a ed system. Specif nos. 1 and 2. Also	overy system to onbothed to maintain the Stand free of defects the bande or disconnectically, the breakaway, failed to post operating pump every system.	age II vapor red t would impair the tion of any comes were installed ting instructions	covery he ponent I upside	
							Base	Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environ	ımental.	Property a	nd Human	Health Mat	rix	Comment of the second	1,000,1250		
				Harm	83.585F 81.516	C	OCCUPANTAL STATES OF THE STATE		
<u> 1. juli</u> (1. juli		Release	Major	Moderate	Minor			•	
OR		Actual				Darcont	100/		
g generalijAi		Potential	<u> </u>	<u> x </u>		Percent	10%		
>>Program	amatic N	latriy	State State Co.	913378	CONTRACTOR S	A 4 (4) (4) (4)			
Fiogram	miauc n	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor				
		raiomodiom	I I	1		Percent	0%		
	L		<u> </u>				<u> </u>		
2420 a 200 a 2	Matrix Notes	Human heal would not ex	th or the envi	ronment will or nat are protecti	could be expose ve of human hea the violation.	d to significant amou lth or environmental Adjustme	receptors as a r	s which esult of \$9,000	
ARRIVA MATERIAL ARRIVAN			a salah dari da salah			Aujustinei		ψ3,000	
									\$1,000
Violation E	Events		7 138,338	de la la	anders a		endustria.	and the least	
		Number of Vi	olation Events	1 1] [-	42 Number	of violation days	;	
		110111001 01 11] !!!!!!	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			daily						
			monthly					·	
		mark only one	quarterly	X			Violation Base	Penalty	\$1,000
		with an x	semiannual						
			annual						
			single even						
	1								
			vent is reci	ommended from	m the investigation	on date of July 10, 20	07 to the screer	ning date	
		One quarterly	y CVCIII IS TOO		of August 21, 20	107.			
Economic	Benefit			n	of August 21, 20		ory'Limit Tes		
Economic	Benefit	(EB) for th	iis violatio			Statute	a and Market and a control of the co		\$4,070
Economic	Benefit	(EB) for th			of August 21, 20	Statute	ory Limit Tes		\$1,070

Media					and the second second second		
	Petroleum Stor	age Tank			era de jarra	Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	2 				errevitigo de Visit		Depreciation
		OPPHILE	Los Saladas de la composición de la co			5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs .	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
unio particolor de la respecta particolor de la respecta particolor de la respecta de la respecta de la respecta de la respectación de la respecta	BANGGERARA NE O OPEN DE OUT		reseaseration (VIII)	5 67 535	nn sakuakautas bas a	ethat	
Delayed Costs	Falling Address 10	10000	seed of the second	1	Land the state of		
Equipment	Time NASA III		and the second second	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	Chalant Praticion	January III		0.0	\$0 \$0	Charles and T. Times and Comments	\$0 \$0
Land	La La Caracina de la	Succession of		0.0	\$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Record Keeping System	1111111111111			0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal		A	A TOTAL CONTROL OF THE STATE OF	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
	A FOO	70 I I 0007	70.11				
Other (as needed)						m/a m/s, to maintain the	
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated correcovery s	st to upgrade the S ystem in proper op	tage II equipment erating condition a	to ORVI	R compatible syste		Stage II vapor s. The Date
, ,	Estimated co recovery s Re	st to upgrade the S ystem in proper op quired is the date o	stage II equipment erating condition a of investigation and	to ORVI ind to po	R compatible systensist operating instru al Date is the esti	ems, to maintain the ctions on dispensers	Stage II vapor s. The Date ate.
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated co recovery s Re	st to upgrade the S ystem in proper op quired is the date o	stage II equipment erating condition a of investigation and	to ORVI ind to po	R compatible systensist operating instru al Date is the esti	ems, to maintain the ctions on dispensers mated compliance d	Stage II vapor s. The Date ate.
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	Estimated co recovery s Re	st to upgrade the S ystem in proper op quired is the date o	stage II equipment erating condition a of investigation and	to ORVI nd to po d the Fir	R compatible systems to operating instruit all Date is the estimate (except for a \$0 \$0	ems, to maintain the ctions on dispensers mated compliance de cone-time avoided c \$0 \$0	Stage II vapor s. The Date ate. osts) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co recovery s Re	st to upgrade the S ystem in proper op quired is the date o	stage II equipment erating condition a of investigation and	to ORVI	R compatible systems operating instruit of the estimate of the	ems, to maintain the ctions on dispensers mated compliance done-time avoided compliance so	Stage II vapor s. The Date ate. osts) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated co recovery s Re	st to upgrade the S ystem in proper op quired is the date o	stage II equipment erating condition a of investigation and	to ORVI	R compatible systems operating instru al Date is the estilitem (except for a \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ems, to maintain the ctions on dispensers mated compliance done-time avoided complex so	Stage II vapor s. The Date ate. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated co recovery s Re	st to upgrade the S ystem in proper op quired is the date o	stage II equipment erating condition a of investigation and	to ORVI	R compatible systems operating instructional Date is the estimate in the estim	ems, to maintain the ctions on dispensers mated compliance done-time avoided complex so	Stage II vapor s. The Date ate. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated co recovery s Re	st to upgrade the S ystem in proper op quired is the date o	stage II equipment erating condition a of investigation and	to ORVI	R compatible systems operating instructional Date is the estimate of the estim	ems, to maintain the ctions on dispensers mated compliance do compliance	Stage II vapor s. The Date ate. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated co recovery s Re	st to upgrade the S ystem in proper op quired is the date o	stage II equipment erating condition a of investigation and	to ORVI	R compatible systems operating instructional Date is the estimate in the estim	ems, to maintain the ctions on dispensers mated compliance done-time avoided complex so	Stage II vapo s. The Date ate. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated correcovery s Re ANN	st to upgrade the S ystem in proper op quired is the date o	stage II equipment erating condition a of investigation and ed costs before e	to ORVI nd to po the Fir ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	R compatible systems operating instructional Date is the estimate of the estim	ems, to maintain the ctions on dispensers mated compliance do compliance	Stage II vapor s. The Date ate. osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Compliance History

Customer	/Respondent/Owner-Opera	ator: CN601030018	Delta Bevco, Inc.		Classification: A	AVERAGE	Rating: 4.80
Regulated		RN101887891	DELTA FOOD 2		Classification: A	VERAGE	Site Rating: 4.80
ID Numbe	er(s):		STORAGE TANK	REGISTE	RATION		49045
_ocation:		REGISTRATION 705 TEXAS AVE	N E, BRIDGE CITY, TX, 7761	1	Rating Date: 9/1	1/2006 Repea	at Violator: NO
TCEQ Re	gion:	REGION 10 - BE	EAUMONT				
Date Com	npliance History Prepared:	August 22, 2007	•				
Agency D	ecision Requiring Complia	nce History: Enforcement					
	ce Period:		2 to August 22, 2007				
TCEQ Sta	aff Member to Contact for A	Additional Information Regarding	this Compliance History			,	•
Name:	Rajesh Acharya	, P	hone: (512) 239-05	77			
		Site	Compliance History Co	omponents			
1. Has the	e site been in existence and	d/or operation for the full five yea	ar compliance period?	Yes			
		e in ownership of the site during		No			
	who is the current owner?			N/A			
4. if Yes,	who was/were the prior ov	wner(s)?		N/A			
	did the change (a) in surpo	robin occur?					
	did the change(s) in owner			N/A			
-	nents (Multimedia) for				ual augus mana ant		
A.	Final Enforcement Orders	s, court judgements, and conser	nt decrees of the state of Te	exas and the lede	erai government.		
	N/A		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
Б	Amy animinal capulations	of the state of Texas and the fed	leral government				
B.	•	of the state of Texas and the led	ierai governinerit.				
0	N/A	ione evente					
C.	Chronic excessive emiss	sions events.					
	N/A						
D.	• •	vestigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track	. No.)				
	1 07/11/2005 2 10/26/2005	(381270) (418261)					
	2 10/26/2005 3 01/06/2006	(438555)					
	4 04/12/2006	(454530)					
	5 08/13/2007	(568286)			•		,
E.	Written notices of violation	ons (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track.	No.)				
	Date: 07/11/200	5 (381270)	•				
	Self Report? N	10		lassification: I	Moderate		
	Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 334, SubCha Failure to make overfill preven		ne investigation			
	Description: Self Report? N	Validie to make overnii preven			Moderate		
	Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 334, SubCha					
	Description:	Failure to equip pressurized p B18	iping entering the dispense	rs with anchored	shear valves.		
	Self Report? N	10	C	lassification:	Moderate		
	Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 115, SubCha					
	Description:	Failure to maintain proof of att §115.248 of this title (relating Stage II training for each emp to work at the facility. B3	to Training Requirements),	with the docume	ntation of all		
	Self Report? N	10	C	Classification:	Moderate		
	Citation: Description:	30 TAC Chapter 115, SubCha Failure to maintain copies of S for review. B3		ual and triennial	tests available		
	Self Report? N	NO		Classification:	Moderate		
	Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 115, SubCha	apter C 115.246(1)				

Description:

Failure to maintain a copy of the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Executive Order(s) for the Stage II vapor recovery system and any related components installed at

the facility. B3

Self Report?

Classification: Moderate

Citation:

30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.245(5)

Description:

Failure to submit test records to the TCEQ or the Local Program having jurisdiction

within 10 working days of the completion of the test(s). B3

Date: 04/12/2006

Citation:

(454530)

Self Report?

NO

Classification:

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter A 334,10(b)[G] Failure to make overfill prevention records available for the investigation.

Description: Self Report?

NO

Classification: Moderate

Moderate

Citation:

Description:

30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.51(b)(2)(C)[G]

Failure to have overfill prevention equipment for all tanks included in the UST system.

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

N/A

Н. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program. 1.

Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
DELTA BEVCO, INC. DBA DELTA	§	
FOOD 2	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
RN101887891		

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1353-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Delta Bevco, Inc. dba Delta Food 2 ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ch. 382 and TEX. WATER CODE ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 705 Texas Avenue in Bridge City, Orange County, Texas (the "Station").
- 2. The Station consists of one or more sources as defined in TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 382.003(12).
- 3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about August 18, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Two Thousand One Hundred Forty Dollars (\$2,140) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations").

·				

The Respondent has paid One Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$150) of the administrative penalty and Four Hundred Twenty-Eight Dollars (\$428) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of One Thousand Five Hundred Sixty-Two Dollars (\$1,562) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 11 monthly payments of One Hundred Forty-Two Dollars (\$142) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Station, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to maintain records on-site at the Station ordinarily manned during business hours, and make them immediately available for review upon request, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.246(4), (5), and (7)(A) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on July 10, 2007. Specifically, Stage II employee training records, inspection reports and test results were not available for inspection at the time of the investigation.

en de la companya de la co La companya de la co

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

A second of the s

The control of the cont

in the second of the second of

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

en 1990 de 1985 en la creativa de la companya de l La companya de la co

and the second of the second o

State of the control of

- 2. Failed to upgrade the Stage II vapor recovery system to onboard refueling vapor recovery (ORVR) compatible systems, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(1)(C) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on July 10, 2007.
- 3. Failed to maintain the Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition and free of defects that would impair the effectiveness of the system, including the absence or disconnection of any component that is a part of the approved system, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(3)(L), as documented during an investigation conducted on July 10, 2007. Specifically, the breakaways were installed upside down on dispenser nos. 1 and 2.
- 4. Failed to post operating instructions conspicuously on the front of each gasoline dispensing pump equipped with a Stage II vapor recovery system, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 115.242(9) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 382.085(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on July 10, 2007.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Delta Bevco, Inc. dba Delta Food 2, Docket No. 2007-1353-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
 - i. Begin maintaining all Stage II records at the Station, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 115.246; and
 - ii. Begin maintaining Stage II vapor recovery system in proper operating condition, including but not limited to, upgrading the Stage II equipment to ORVR

Back to the second of the secon

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

4

and the contract of the contra

And the second of the second o

and the control of th

en de la composition La composition de la

and the second of the second o

compatible systems, proper installation of the breakaways, and posting operating instructions on the front of each gasoline dispensing pump, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 115.242.

b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a.i. and 2.a.ii. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Beaumont Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 3870 Eastex Freeway Beaumont, Texas 77703-1892

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Station operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent

(4) The control of the property of the decision of the decision of the decision of the property of the control of the contr

A service of the serv

(a) Proposed the control of the c

Market and the second of the

The second secon

 $\mathbb{C}_{T_{k}}(x) \to Y(x) = \mathbb{C}_{T_{k}}(x)$

Andrew Andrew State (1995) and the Andrew State (1995). The second state (1995) and the second state (1995). The second state (1995) and the s

and the first of the angle of the policy of the second reserves of the second field of the second of

A second of the second of the first of the second of th

in a personal di terminal de la compania de la comp Antigente de la compania de la comp De la compania de la De la compania de la compania de la compania della compania della compania della compania della compania della

receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

and the second of the second o

est de la composition de la compositio de la composition de de la composition de de la composition della composition della composition

anders of the second control of the second control of the second control of the second control of the second co The second control of the second control o

For the Commission

AHMER NAEEM

Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Delta Bevco, Inc. dba Delta Food 2



SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Executive Director		12/14 Date	107
attached Agreed Order on be and conditions specified the	d and understand the attached ehalf of the entity indicated be erein. I further acknowledge relying on such representation	elow my signature, an that the TCEQ, in ac	d I do agree to the terms
 timely pay the penalty amount A negative impact on Greater scrutiny of an Referral of this case penalties, and/or atto Increased penalties in Automatic referral to TCEQ seeking other 	to comply with the Ordering Int, may result in: In compliance history; In permit applications submitted to the Attorney General's Office on any future enforcement action the Attorney General's Office of the Attorney General's Office relief as authorized by law. of any compliance documents	ed; ffice for contempt, injency; ns; of any future enforce	junctive relief, additional ement actions; and

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

and the second of the second o

The first of the second of the

en de la composition Le composition de la Le composition de la Le composition de la composition della composition della

the control of the second of the event of the control of the physical control of the control of the first second

a staden en en al la charga de la companya de la c La companya de la companya de

[2] The first angle of the property of the control of the property of the p

the specific of the second section of the second section of the second section is the specific of the specific

Committee and the second $= 4 \cdot \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + (\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}}) \cdot \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}} + (\epsilon_{\mathbf{j}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}}) \cdot \epsilon_{\mathbf{j}}$

and the first constant of the second of the